

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/941,988	08/30/2001	Hirayoshi Tanei	566.40595X00	7616
20457	7590 11/14/2002			
12:10::	I TERRY STOUT A	EXAMINER		
SUITE 1800 1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET			BLACKWELL RUDASIL, GWENDOLYN A	
ARLINGTON	, VA 22209		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1775	G
			DATE MAILED: 11/14/2002	(

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		R				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/941,988	TANEI ET AL.				
Offic Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit				
	Gwendolyn A. Blackwell-Rudasill	1775				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	p ars on the cover shet with the c	correspond nce address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24	October 2002 .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>3</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 4</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	,					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	ts have been received					
•	 1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 					
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 						
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
C Data and Tandard A 0/5						

Art Unit: 1775

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I in Paper No. 8 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that amended claim 1 now incorporates the limitation that the conductive film does not contain any glass, which makes it commensurate in scope with the method claims, and therefore the restriction requirement should be withdrawn. This is not found persuasive because although the applicant incorporated the limitation that the conductor film should be made without glass, the article can be made by a materially different process. Instead of sticking a conductor film onto a ceramic substrate, the electronic component can be formed by forming a conductive film on a releasable sheet, layering the glass ceramic material on top of the conductive film, sintering, then removing the releasable sheet from the conductive film so that the glass ceramic is now the bottom layer.

2. New claim 4 is being considered as a product by process claim, which will be grouped with the article claims of Group I. Because the patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production, if the product in the product-by-process claim is the same as or obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product was made by a different process. MPEP 2113.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

3. Rejoinder of non-elected process claim will be considered upon the indication of allowable subject matter pursuant to MPEP 821.04.

Art Unit: 1775

Priority

4. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 7. Claims 1-2 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over United States Patent no. 6,338,893, Kodera et al., in view of United States Patent no. 5,527,627, Lautzenhiser et al.

Kodera et al disclose a glass ceramic printed circuit substrate with a conductive paste printed thereon. The conductive paste is composed of "100 parts by weight of silver-platinum;

Art Unit: 1775

0.2 to 1 part of manganese dioxide; 0.2 to 1 part copper oxide; 0.3 to 1 part by weight of silicon dioxide; and 3 to 5.6 parts by weight of molybdenum and tungsten powder," (column 3, lines 56-62). A ceramic printed circuit substrate is made by forming a printed circuit made of the aforementioned conductive paste on green sheets that are composed of a glass ceramic containing a borosilicate glass, (column 4, lines 46-65). Kodera et al also disclose that a conductive film containing a glass frit causes an excessive amount of glass to appear on the surface of a circuit conductor during firing which causes a reduction in bonding strength, (column 3, lines 3-28). Kodera et al do not specifically disclose the surface area of the silver particles.

Lautzenhiser et al disclose an ink composition that is used on ceramic substrate, (column 7, lines 4-6). The ink is composed a powder mixture and an organic binder mixture that has been dissolved in a solvent, (column 3, lines 6-13). The powder mixture is made of about 84-94 wt% of silver powder. At least one of the silver powders is a coarse powder with a surface area of not greater than 0.35 m²/g and the second powder is a finer powder having a surface area of greater than 0.6 m²/g, (columns 4-5, lines 60-30). The ink composition has increased conductivity as well as improved physical, solderability, and adhesion characteristics of the resulting film, (column 2, lines 34-66).

While Kodera et al do not disclose the specific surface area of the silver particles as claimed by applicant, it is within the skill of one in the art at the time of invention to modify the printed circuit substrate of Kodera et al with the ink composition of Lautzenhiser et al as the ink composition does not contain a glass frit. In addition, because the conductive film can be manufactured utilizing a single print, dry, and fire cycle, the solderability and adhesive properties

Art Unit: 1775

of the resulting film are improved, (Lautzenhiser, column 2, lines 34-44). As such, the manufacturing cost of the modified invention would be lowered.

Although, Kodera et al and Lautzenhiser et al do not specifically disclose that the temperature difference between the conductor paste and the softening temperature of the glass in the substrate have a difference of \pm 50°C, it is demonstrated that the green sheets and the printed circuits can be printed at the same time, which satisfies that limitation, (Kodera, abstract; Lautzenhiser, abstract).

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

United States Patent no. 3,872,360, Sheard, discloses an electrode composition used on ceramic substrates that can contain silver with no glass frit.

United States Patent no. 4,336,551, Fujita et al., disclose an Ag-Pd conductor paste that does not contain a glass frit.

United States Patent no. 6,404,318, Uchikoba et al., disclose a conductive paste that utilizes silver with a surface area of 0.5 m²/g wherein the paste does not contain glass frit.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gwendolyn A. Blackwell-Rudasill whose telephone number is (703) 305-9741. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday; 6:30 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Deborah Jones can be reached on (703) 308-3822. The fax phone numbers for the

Art Unit: 1775

Page 6

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Gwendolyn A. Blackwell-Rudasill

Examiner Art Unit 1775

gbr November 6, 2002